arXiv:1403.6905v1 [math.GN] 27 Mar 2014

BORNOLIGIES, TOPOLOGICAL GAMES AND FUNCTION SPACES

JILING CAO AND ARTUR H. TOMITA

Abstract. In this paper, we continue the study of function spaces equipped with topologies of (strong) uniform convergence on bornologies initiated by Beer and Levi [4]. In particular, we investigate some topological properties these function spaces defined by topological games. In addition, we also give further characterizations of metrizability and completeness properties of these function spaces.

1. Introduction

A bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on a (nonempty) set X is a family of nonempty subsets of X that is closed under taking finite unions, that is closed under taking subsets, and that forms a cover of X. In his pioneering work [20], Hu investigated bornologies defined on metrizable spaces that correspond to that of bounded sets with respect to an admissible metric. He was the first to build a framework to discuss macroscopic phenomena and their interplay with the local structures investigated in general topology. In the literature, bornologies have been widely applied in the theory of locally convex spaces [18], where additional conditions are required, e.g., that the bornology be closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication, and perhaps that the balanced hull of $B \in \mathscr{B}$ remains in $\mathscr{B}$. Furthermore, over the past 15 years, Borwein et al. [7] have used bornologies to develop a unified theory of differentiability for functions defined on normed spaces, characterizing various geometric properties of spaces in terms of the relationship between different bornological derivatives on various classes of functions.

Recently, there has been renewed interest in bornologies in analysis and topology. On one hand, Beer and Levi [5] investigated some basic questions about the totally bounded subsets induced by a bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on a metric space. On the other hand, Beer and Levi [4] applied bornologies to study function spaces with topologies of uniform convergence on bornologies in a unified approach. In the same paper, they also introduced topologies of strong uniform convergence on bornologies. This study has been carried on by Caserta et al. [11] and Holá [19]. In [11], the authors characterized several topological properties of the topology of strong uniform convergence on a bornology, including separability, second countability, countable tightness, etc. In [19], Holá characterized complete metrizability of topologies of strong uniform convergence on bornologies. The purpose of this article is to continue the study of topological properties of function space equipped with topologies

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C35; Secondary 46A17, 54E50, 54E52.
Keywords. Baire, Bornology, Completely metrizable, Monotonically p-space, q_D-point, Strongly Baire, Strong uniform convergence.

of (strong) uniform convergence on bornologies. In particular, we investigate those properties defined in terms of topological games.

Given a topological space X and a metric space (Y,ρ), let $C(X,Y)$ be the set of continuous functions from X to (Y,ρ). For $(Y,\rho)=(\mathbb{R},\ \text{Euclidean metric})$, $C(X,Y)$ is simply denoted by $C(X)$. The family of non-empty finite subsets (resp. subsets with compact closure) of X is denoted by $\mathscr{F}(X)$ (resp. $\mathscr{K}(X)$). In addition, the family of non-empty subsets of X is denoted by $\mathscr{P}(X)$. Two nonempty subsets A and B of (Y,ρ) are said to be *far from each other* if

$$D(A,B):=\inf\{\rho(a,b):a\in A \text{ and } b\in B\}>0.$$

Given $\delta>0$, the open δ-ball centered at $a\in Y$ is denoted by $S(a,\delta)$, and $A^\delta:=\bigcup_{a\in A}S(a,\delta)$ is called the *δ-enlargement* of the subset A of (Y,ρ). If $\mathscr{A}$ is a family of nonempty subsets of (Y,ρ), then $\mathscr{A}^\delta:=\{A^\delta:A\in\mathscr{A}\}$. Given a function $f\in C(X,Y)$ and a net $\langle f_\alpha:\alpha\in D\rangle$ pointwise convergent to f, the family of subsets of X on which the convergence is uniform is easily seen to be a bornology with closed base. Here, a subfamily $\mathscr{S}$ of a bornology $\mathscr{B}$ is a *base* of $\mathscr{B}$ if for each member $B\in\mathscr{B}$ there exists an $A\in\mathscr{S}$ such that $B\subseteq A$. If each member of a base $\mathscr{S}$ is a closed subset, then $\mathscr{S}$ is called a *closed base* of $\mathscr{B}$. Bearing this in mind, suppose that $\mathscr{B}$ is a bornology with closed base on X. The uniformity $\Delta_{\mathscr{B}}$ for the topology $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ of uniform convergence on $\mathscr{B}$ for $C(X,Y)$ has as a base for its encourages all sets of the form

$$[B;\varepsilon]:=\{(f,g):\rho(f(x),g(x))<\varepsilon \text{ for all } x\in B\},$$

where $B\in\mathscr{B}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. When $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{F}(X)$, we get the standard uniformity for the topology of pointwise convergence. The topology $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{F}(X)}$ is simply denoted by $\mathscr{T}_p$, and the space $(C(X,Y),\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{F}(X)})$ is simply denoted by $C_p(X,Y)$. When $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{K}(X)$, we get the standard uniformity for the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. The topology $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{K}(X)}$ is simply denoted by $\mathscr{T}_k$, and the space $(C(X,Y),\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{K}(X)})$ is simply denoted by $C_k(X,Y)$. Finally, when $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{P}(X)$, we get the standard uniformity for the topology of uniform convergence on X.

Definition 1.1. Let (X,d) and (Y,ρ) be two metric spaces, and let $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology with a closed base on X. The *topology of strong uniform convergence* on $\mathscr{B}$ for $C(X,Y)$, denoted by $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$, is determined by a uniformity $\Delta^s_{\mathscr{B}}$, which has as a base for its entourages all sets of the form

$$[B;\varepsilon]^s:=\{(f,g):\ \text{there is } \delta>0 \text{ such that } \rho(f(x),g(x))<\varepsilon \text{ for all } x\in B^\delta\},$$

where $B\in\mathscr{B}$ and $\varepsilon>0$.

Note that $\mathscr{T}_p\subseteq\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X,Y)$ for any bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on X. Thus, $(C(X),\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ and $(C(X),\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ are Tychonoff topological groups. Since $[B;\varepsilon]^s\subseteq[B;\varepsilon]$ for all $\mathscr{B}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ is always finer than $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X,Y)$. In general, these two topologies are distinct on $C(X,Y)$. Several conditions under which $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}=\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X,Y)$ can be found in the literature, e.g., in [3], [4] and [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce and study several classes of topological spaces defined by topological games. These classes of spaces are close to the spaces with certain completeness properties. In Section 3, we consider the metrizability of topologies $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X,Y)$. Further characterizations of these topologies in terms of q_D-points are given. In Section 4, we apply results in Section 3 to study completeness properties of topologies $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and

$\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X,Y)$. The last section is devoted to the study of the Baire property of function spaces defined by bornologies. We give some necessary conditions under which a function space equipped with the topology of strong uniform topology on a bornology to be Baire. The paper also contains several open questions. All undefined concepts can be found in the listed references, e.g., [15], [16] or [24].

2. Topological spaces defined by games

Let T be a topological space. Recall that the *Choquet game* $Ch(T)$ played in T is the following two-player infinite game. Players, called β (the first player) and α (the second player), alternatively choose nonempty open subsets of X with β starting first such that $U_1 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \cdots$. In this way, a run $\langle (U_n, V_n) : n \geq 1\rangle$ will be produced. Then α is said to *win* this run if $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_n (= \bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, we say that β has won. By a *strategy* σ for player β, we mean a function whose domain is the collection of all legal finite sequences of moves of α and whose values are nonempty open sets of X. If σ is a strategy for β in $Ch(T)$, $\sigma(\emptyset)$ denotes the first move of β. A finite sequence $\langle V_1, ..., V_n\rangle$ of nonempty open sets of X is called a *partial play* of α subject to σ in $Ch(X)$ if $V_1 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $V_{i+1} \subseteq \sigma(V_1, ..., V_i) \subseteq V_i$ for all $1 \leq i < n$. Similarly, an infinite sequence $\langle V_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of nonempty open sets of X is called a *(full) play* of α subject to σ if $V_1 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$ and $V_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(V_1, ..., V_n) \subseteq V_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Strategies for player α, partial plays and (full) plays for β subject to a strategy of α can be defined similarly. In addition, a *winning strategy* for a player is a strategy such that this player wins each play of its opponent subject to this strategy no matter how the opponent moves in the game. If α has a winning strategy in $Ch(X)$, then X is called *weakly α-favorable*. For more information on topological games, see [10].

Recall that T is *Baire* if the intersection of every sequence of dense open subsets in T is dense. The well-known Baire Category Theorem in analysis and topology claims that every complete metric or locally compact Hausdorff space is Baire. A Tychonoff space T is *Čech complete* if it is a G_δ-set in its Čech-Stone compactification βT. Since the class of Čech complete spaces contains all complete metric spaces and locally compact topological spaces, a unified version of the Baire Category Theorem is: *Each Čech complete space is Baire*. An interesting connection between the Choquet game and the class of Baire spaces is given in the following theorem of Oxtoby in 1957.

Theorem 2.1 ([28])**.** *A space X is Baire if and only if the first player does not have a winning strategy in the Choquet game played in X.*

A proof of Theorem 2.1 can also be found in [22] and [31]. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that every weakly α-favorable space is Baire. Let $D \subseteq T$ be a dense subset of T. The game $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$, involves two players α and β. Players β and α choose alternately non-empty open subsets V_n and U_n in X just as in the Choquet game. Player α *wins* a run if $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_n$ is non-empty and each sequence $\langle x_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ with $x_n \in U_n \cap D$ for all $n \geq 1$ has a cluster point in T. The space T is *strongly Baire* [21] if T is regular and there is a dense subset $D \subset T$ such that β does not have a winning strategy in the game $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$ played in T.

A family of subsets of T is said to be an *almost cover* if the union of its members is dense in T. A *sieve* (resp. An *almost sieve*) $\langle \{U_i : i \in I_n\}, \pi_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ on T is a sequence of indexed covers (resp. almost covers) $\{U_i : i \in I_n\}$ of X, together with

maps $\pi_n : I_{n+1} \to I_n$ such that $U_i = X$ for all $i \in I_0$ and $U_i = \bigcup\{U_j : j \in \pi_n^{-1}(i)\}$ (resp. $\bigcup\{U_j : j \in \pi_n^{-1}(i)\}$ is dense in U_i) for all $i \in I_n$ and all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, a π-*chain* for such a sieve or an almost sieve is a sequence $\langle i_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ such that $i_n \in I_n$ and $\pi_n(i_{n+1}) = i_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. A filterbase $\mathscr{F}$ on T is said to be *controlled* by a sequence $\langle U_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of subsets of X if each U_n contains some $F \in \mathscr{F}$. If each filterbase controlled by $\langle U_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ clusters, then $\langle U_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ is called a *complete sequence* on T. Furthermore, T is called *sieve complete* (resp. *almost complete*) [13], [25] if there exists an (resp. almost) open sieve such that $\langle U_{i_n} : n \geq 1\rangle$ is a complete sequence for each π-chain $\langle i_n : n \geq 1\rangle$, and T is called an *almost monotonically p-space* if T has an almost open sieve such that $\langle U_{i_n} : n \geq 1\rangle$ is a complete sequence for each π-chain $\langle i_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ with $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$.

All Čech complete spaces are sieve complete, all sieve complete spaces are almost complete, all almost complete spaces are strongly Baire, and all strongly Baire spaces are Baire. Each almost complete Tychonoff space has a Čech complete dense G_δ-subspace. In [21], some conditions under which a Baire space is strongly Baire were given. Below, we give some more conditions.

Theorem 2.2. *Let T be a regular space. If T is a Baire and almost monotonically p-space, then T is a strongly Baire space.*

Proof. Let $\langle\{U_i : i \in I_n\}, \pi_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ be an almost open sieve on T to witness T being an almost monotonically p-space. For each $n \geq 1$, put $G_n = \bigcup_{i\in I_n} U_i$. By definition, each G_n is a dense open subset of T. Since T is a Baire space, then $D = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} G_n$ is also a dense subset of T.

We shall show that any strategy σ for player β in the game $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$ is not a winning strategy for β. To this end, we need to define inductively a strategy θ for β in $Ch(T)$ by applying σ and then applying the Baireness of T. First, we select an $i_1 \in I_1$ such that $\sigma(\emptyset) \cap U_{i_1} \neq \emptyset$, and put $\theta(\emptyset) = \sigma(\emptyset) \cap U_{i_1}$. Let $V_1 \subseteq \theta(\emptyset)$ be $\alpha's$ first move in $Ch(T)$. Now, we choose $i_2 \in I_2$ such that $i_1 = \pi_1(i_2)$ and $\sigma(V_1) \cap U_{i_2} \neq \emptyset$. Define $\theta(V_1) = \sigma(V_1) \cap U_{i_2}$. Assume that for each $n > 1$, we have defined θ for any finite θ-sequence $\langle V_1, ..., V_n\rangle$ satisfying
(1) $\theta(V_1, ..., V_k) = \sigma(V_1, ..., V_k) \cap U_{i_k}$ for all $k \leq n$,
(2) $i_k \in I_k$ for all $k \leq n$,
(3) $i_{k-1} = \pi_k(i_n)$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$.
Note that any θ-sequence of length $k \leq n$ in $Ch(T)$ is also a σ-sequence of the same length in $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$. Let $\langle V_1, ..., V_n\rangle$ be any finite sequence of nonempty open subsets satisfying the conditions (1)–(3). Suppose that $V_{n+1} \subseteq \theta(V_1, ..., V_n)$ is α's $(n+1)$-th move in $Ch(T)$. We choose $i_{n+1} \in I_{n+1}$ such that $\pi_{n+1}(i_{n+1}) = i_n$ and $\sigma(V_1, ..., V_n) \cap U_{i_{n+1}} \neq \emptyset$, and then define

$$\theta(V_1, ..., V_n, V_{n+1}) = \sigma(V_1, ..., V_n) \cap U_{i_{n+1}}.$$

This completes the definition of θ inductively.

Since T is a Baire space, by Theorem 2.1, θ is not a winning strategy for β in $Ch(T)$. It follows that there is an infinite θ-sequence $\langle V_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of α's moves such that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n \neq \emptyset$. Now, let $\langle x_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ be a sequence in T with $x_n \in V_n \cap D$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then, by the construction of θ, we see that $x_n \in U_{i_n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Note that $\langle i_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ is a π-sequence. Since $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$, then $\langle U_{i_n} : n \geq 1\rangle$ must be a complete sequence. For each $n \geq 1$, put $F_n = \{x_k : k \geq n\}$. Then the filterbase

$\{F_n : n \geq 1\}$ is controlled by $\langle U_{i_n} : n \geq 1\rangle$. This implies that $\{F_n : n \geq 1\}$ has a cluster point in T, which is equivalent to that $\{x_n : n \geq 1\}$ has a cluster point in T. Note that $\langle V_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ is an infinite σ-sequence in the game $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$ to witness that σ is not a winning strategy for β. Thus, T is a strongly Baire space. □

Remark 2.3. The question that how strongly Baire spaces can be identified was considered firstly by Kenderov et al. in [21] and more recently by Arhangel'skii et al. in [2]. Let T and Z be two topological spaces with $T \subseteq Z$. The space T is said to have *star separation in* Z, if there exists a sequence $\langle \mathscr{G}_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of families of open subsets of Z such that for every pair of points $x \in T$ and $z \in Z \setminus T$, there exists some $n \geq 1$ such that at least one of stars $\mathrm{St}(x, \mathscr{G}_n)$ and $\mathrm{St}(z, \mathscr{G}_n)$ is not empty and contains only one of the two points. In particular, T is said to have *countable separation* if in some compactification bT, X has star separation by a sequence $\langle \mathscr{G}_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of open subsets of bT such that for each $n \geq 1$, $\mathscr{G}_n$ contains only one member. It was observed in [21] that every Baire space having countable separation is strongly Baire. Recently, it has been shown that every Baire space which has star separation in some compactification is (α, G_{C^*})-favorable, and thus is a strongly Baire space. Note that a (α, G_{C^*})-favorable space is called an *monotonically p-space* by Cao and Piotrowski in [8] and moreover, each monotonically p-space is an almost monotonically p-space.

3. Metrizability revisited

In this section, we study the metrizability of topologies $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ respectively, and improve some results in the literature. Beer and Levi [4] characterized the metrizability of $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ in terms of $\mathscr{B}$, as shown in the following result.

Theorem 3.1 ([4])**.** *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. The following statements are equivalent.*
(1) *The bornology $\mathscr{B}$ has a countable base.*
(2) *For each metric space (Y, ρ), $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $(C(X, Y))$ is metrizable.*
(3) *For each metric space (Y, ρ), $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $(C(X, Y))$ is first countable.*
(4) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ has a countable local base at some $f \in C(X)$.*

In [11], Caserta et al. provided further characterizations for the metrizability of $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ in terms of other countability type properties, e.g., the pointwise countable property.

Next, we will provide a new characterization of the metrizability of $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$, which will help us to study completeness properties of these topologies. First, we need to introduce some notation. Let $D \subseteq T$ be a dense set of a topological space T. A point $x \in T$ is called a *q_D-point* of T if there exists a sequence $\langle U_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of open neighborhood of x such that if $x_n \in U_n \cap D$ for all $n \geq 1$, the sequence $\langle x_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ has a cluster point in T. If $D = T$, a q_D-point is simply called a *q-point*. If every point of T is a q-point, then T is called a *q-space*. Obviously, every q-point is a q_D-point.

Proposition 3.2. *Let T be a topological space. If T is strongly Baire or contains a dense subset which is a q-space itself, then T contains at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq T$.*

Proof. If $D \subseteq T$ is a dense subset which is q-space itself. For each $x \in D$, let $\langle U_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ be a sequence of open neighborhoods of x in the subspace D that

witnesses x to be a q-point in D. For each $n \geq 1$, pick an open subset $\widetilde{U}_n$ in T such that $U_n = \widetilde{U}_n \cap D$. Then, $\langle \widetilde{U}_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ witnesses x to be a q_D-point in T.

Let $D \subseteq T$ be a dense subset of T such that β does not have a winning strategy in the game $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$ played in T. Let t_0 be any strategy of β. Since t_0 is not a winning strategy when β applies t_0 in the game $\mathscr{G}_S(D)$, there exists a sequence $\langle U_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ of nonempty subsets of T such that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_n \neq \emptyset$ and every sequence $\langle x_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ with $x_n \in U_n \cap D$ for every $n \geq 1$ has a cluster point in T. It is easy to see that every point in $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} U_n$ is a q_D-point of X. □

In Proposition 3.2, if T is homogeneous, then every point of T is a q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq T$. However, the converse of Proposition 3.2 does not hold even for homogeneous first countable spaces. For example, the Sorgenfrey line $\mathbb{S}$ is a Baire and first countable paratopological group, but not strongly Baire, since it is not a topological group, by Theorem 2 in [21].

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. Then, $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is metrizable if and only if the space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ has at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$.*

Proof. The necessity is trivial. To prove the sufficiency, let $f_* \in C(X)$ be a q_D-point of $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$. Let $\widetilde{D} = D - f_*$. Then $\widetilde{D}$ is dense in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ and the zero function 0_X is a $q_{\widetilde{D}}$-point of $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$. This means that there is a sequence $\langle U_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ of open neighborhoods of 0_X in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ such that any sequence $\langle f_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ with $f_n \in U_n \cap \widetilde{D}$ for all $n \geq 1$ has a cluster point in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $U_n = [B_n; \varepsilon_n]^s(0_X)$, where $B_n \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\varepsilon_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

First, we claim that $X = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} B_n$. Assume the contrary, then there will be a point $x_0 \in X \setminus \bigcup_{n\geq 1} B_n$. For each $n \geq 1$, let $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} d(x_0, B_n) > 0$. Since $x_0 \notin \overline{B_n^{\lambda_n}}$ for each $n \geq 1$, we can choose a continuous function $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_n(x_0) = n$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ for every point $x \in B_n^{\lambda_n}$. Next, for each $n \geq 1$, we choose a function $g_n \in C(X)$ such that

$$g_n \in [B_n; \varepsilon_n]^s(0_X) \cap \left[\{x_0\}, \frac{1}{3}\right]^s (f_n) \cap \widetilde{D}$$

Since 0_X is a $q_{\widetilde{D}}$-point, then the sequence $\langle g_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ must have a cluster point f_0 in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$. However, this is not possible, since

$$n - \frac{1}{3} < g_n(x_0) < n + \frac{1}{3}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. This is a contradiction. Thus, the claim is verified.

Now, choose a sequence $\langle V_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ of open neighborhoods of 0_X in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ with $V_n = [C_n; \delta_n]^s(0_X)$ such that
(1) $C_n \in \mathscr{S}$ for all $n \geq 1$,
(2) $B_n \subseteq C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$,
(3) $0 < \delta_{n+1} < \delta_n < \varepsilon_n$ for all $n \geq 1$,
(4) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \overline{V}_n = \{0_X\}$.
Note that (1)–(4) can be done as follows: Define $O_{ij} = [B_i; \frac{1}{j}]^s(0_X)$ for all $i, j \geq 1$, and re-label as sets G_n so that $\langle G_n : n \geq 1 \rangle = \langle O_{i,j} : i, j \geq 1 \rangle$. For any function

$f \in C(X) \setminus \{0_X\}$, there is some point $y_0 \in X$ with $f(y_0) \neq 0$. Since $X = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} B_n$, we can select $i_0 \geq 1$ such that $y_0 \in B_{i_0}$. In addition, choose $j_0 \geq 1$ such that $|f(y_0)| > \frac{1}{j_0}$. It follows that $f \notin O_{i_0 j_0}$. Thus, $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} G_n = \{0_X\}$. Regularity of $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ allows us to shrink the sets G_n to open sets H_n so that $0_X \in H_n \subseteq \overline{H}_n \subseteq G_n$. By shrinking further if necessary, we may assume that V_n is of the required form.

Finally, we claim that $\{C_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a base for $\mathscr{B}$. If not, there exists some element $B \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $B \not\subseteq C_n$ for any $n \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$, we first select a point $x_n \in B \notin C_n$ and put $\eta_n = \frac{1}{2}d(x_n, C_n) > 0$. Since $x_n \notin \overline{C_n^{\eta_n}}$ for each $n \geq 1$, we can select a continuous function $h_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_n(x_n) = 1$ and $h_n(x) = \{0\}$ for each point $x \in C_n^{\eta_n}$. Like what we have done previously, for each $n \geq 1$, we select a continuous function $p_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$p_n \in [C_n; \varepsilon_n]^s(0_X) \cap \left[\{x_n\}, \frac{1}{3}\right]^s (h_n) \cap \widetilde{D}.$$

Since 0_X is a $q_{\widetilde{D}}$-point of $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$, the sequence $\langle p_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ has a cluster point p_* in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$. By (4), we have $p_* = 0_X$. However, since $p_n \in [\{x_n\}, \frac{1}{3}]^s(h_n)$ implies $\frac{2}{3} < p_n(x_n) < \frac{4}{3}$, we conclude that $p_n \notin [B; \frac{1}{3}]^s(0_X)$ for any $n \geq 1$. This is a contradiction, which verifies that $\{C_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a base for $\mathscr{S}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is metrizable. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. Then, $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is metrizable if and only if the space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ has at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$.*

Proof. The proof is is omitted, as it is similiar to that of Theorem 3.3. □

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 can be re-stated in term of an arbitrary metric space (Y, ρ) just like that in Theorem 3.1.

4. Completeness properties

In this section, we study completeness properties of topologies $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ and $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X, Y)$ when X is either a normal or metric space and Y is a complete metric space. Let X be topological space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X. We call X a *$\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$-space* provided that for any function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, f is continuous if and only if $f \restriction B : B \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for all $B \in \mathscr{B}$. If $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{K}(X)$, a $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$-space is called a *$k_{\mathbb{R}}$-space*, [23] and [24].

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a normal space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. Then, $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable if and only if $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is strongly Baire and X is a $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$-space.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable. It is obvious that $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is strongly Baire. To see that X is a $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$-space, note that if $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable, then $(C(X), \Delta_{\mathscr{B}})$ is complete. Let $\mathscr{S}$ be endowed with the partial ordered $\preccurlyeq$ defined by the set inclusion. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $f \restriction A$ is continuous for all $A \in \mathscr{S}$. By normality of X, each $f \restriction A$ can be extended to a continuous function $f_A : X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, it is easy to verify that $\langle f_A, \mathscr{S}, \preccurlyeq\rangle$ is a Cauchy net and converges to f in $(C(X), \Delta_{\mathscr{B}})$. Thus, the function f is continuous.

Now, suppose that $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is strongly Baire and X is a $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$-space. First, by Proposition 3.2, $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ contains at least one q_D-point. Thus, Theorem 3.4 implies that $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is metrizable. First, we need to show that $\mathscr{K}(X) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$. Take an element $A \in \mathscr{K}(X)$. For each $n \geq 1$, put

$$F_n = \{f \in C(X) : |f(x)| \leq n \text{ for every } x \in A\}.$$

It is clear that G_n is closed and $C(X) = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} F_n$. Since $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is strongly Baire, then there exists some $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $\mathrm{Int}(F_{n_0}) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that there exist an element $B \in \mathscr{S}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in C(X)$ such that $[B;\varepsilon](f) \subseteq F_{n_0}$. We claim that $A \subseteq B$. If not, we can pick up a point $x_0 \in A \setminus B$, and then define a continuous function $g : B \cup \{x_0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in B; \\ n+1, & \text{if } x = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Since g is continuous on a closed subspace $B \cup \{x_0\}$ of a normal space X, then g has an extension $\widetilde{g} \in C(X)$. It is clear that $\widetilde{g} \in [B;\varepsilon](f)$. However, $\widetilde{g} \notin F_n$, as $|\widetilde{g}(x_0)| > n$. Thus, the claim is verified. It follows that $A \in \mathscr{B}$. Let $\{B_n : n \geq 1\}$ be a countable base of $\mathscr{B}$. Then, it is easy to see that $\{[B_k, \frac{1}{n}] : k, n \geq 1\}$ is a countable base for $\Delta_{\mathscr{B}}$. This implies that $\Delta_{\mathscr{B}}$ is metrizable. To show that $\Delta_{\mathscr{B}}$ is complete, let $\langle f_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ be a $\Delta_{\mathscr{B}}$-Cauchy sequence in $C(X)$. For each $x \in X$, the sequence $\langle f_n(x) : n \geq 1\rangle$ has a limit point $\lim_{n\geq 1} f_n(x)$. Define a function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = \lim_{n\geq 1} f_n(x)$. It can be verified readily that the sequence $\langle f_n \restriction B : n \geq 1\rangle$ converges uniformly to $f \restriction B$ for each $B \in \mathscr{B}$. It follows that $f \restriction B$ is continuous for all $B \in \mathscr{B}$. Since X is a $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$-space, f is continuous. Also, $\langle f_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$-converges to f. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. Then, $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable if and only if the space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is strongly Baire.*

Remark 4.3. The strong Baire property was firstly applied to the study of function space $C_k(X)$ when X is a manifold in [9]. More precisely, it is shown in [9] when X is a manifold, $C_k(X)$ is strongly Baire if and only if M is metrizable.

Recently, complete metrizability of $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ has been studied by Holá and Caserta et al. To state their results, we need to induce the concept of a shield. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let A be a nonempty subset of X. A superset B of A is called a *shield* for A if for any nonempty closed set C with $B \cap C = \emptyset$ then $D(A, C) > 0$, [3]. A bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on (X, d) is said to be shielded from closed sets if every $A \in \mathscr{B}$ has a shield in $\mathscr{B}$.

Theorem 4.4 ([11], [12])**.** *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*
(1) *$\mathscr{K}(X) \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ is shielded from closed sets and has a countable base.*
(2) *For each complete metric space (Y, ρ), $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X, Y)$ is completely metrizable.*
(3) *$\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable.*
(4) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is Čech complete.*

To see the role that the concept of a shield plays in the previous result, suppose that some $B_0 \in \mathscr{B}$ has no shield in $\mathscr{B}$. Holá [19] constructs a Cauchy sequence $\langle f_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ in $(C(X), \Delta^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ which has no limit point. By applying this fact, one is

able to show that if $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable, then $\mathscr{B}$ is shielded from closed sets. Note that if $\mathscr{B}$ is shielded from closed sets, then $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}} = \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$. Thus, the following open question is of some interests.

Question 4.5. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. If $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is strongly Baire, must it be completely metrizable?*

A topological space T is called *pseudo-complete* [29] if it has a sequence $\langle \mathscr{B}_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of π-bases such that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\overline{V}_{n+1} \subseteq V_n \in \mathscr{B}_n$ for each $n \geq 1$, and T is called a *σ-space* [16] if it has a σ-discrete network.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. The following statements are equivalent.*
(1) *$\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable.*
(2) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is sieve complete.*
(3) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is almost complete.*
(4) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a pseudo-complete and σ-space.*
(5) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a pseudo-complete space with at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is trivial. The equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) follows from Corollary 4.2 and the fact that every almost complete space is strongly Baire.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Each pseudo-complete space is Baire. It was shown in [14] that every Baire σ-space contains a dense metrizable subspace. Thus, if $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a pseudo-complete and σ-space, then it is a pseudo-complete space with at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1). If $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a pseudo-complete space with with at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$, by Theorem 3.4, $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a metrizable and Baire space, and thus is strongly Baire. Thus, by Corollary 4.2, $\mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}$ on $C(X)$ is completely metrizable. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$. The following statements are equivalent.*
(1) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is almost complete.*
(2) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a pseudo-complete and σ-space.*
(3) *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a pseudo-complete space with at least one q_D-point for some dense subset $D \subseteq C(X)$.*

Proof. The proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is similar to that of (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) in Theorem 4.6.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Every Tychonoff almost complete space is pseudo-complete and has a Čech complete dense G_δ-subspace. Thus, if $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is almost complete, by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, it is pseudo-complete and metrizable.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume (3) holds. By Theorem 3.3, $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is metrizable. It was shown in Corollary 2.4 of [1] that a metrizable space is pseudo-complete if and only if it has a dense completely metrizable subspace. □

Remark 4.8. Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.2, Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 can be re-stated in term of an arbitrary metric space (Y, ρ) just like that in Theorem 3.1 of [19].

5. The Baire property

In this section, we provides some results on the Baire property of $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$. In general, it is not an easy task to characterize when a function space has the Baire property. The problem for $C_p(X)$ was solved independently by Pytkeev [30], Tkachuk [32] and van Douwen [26], and the problem for $C_k(X)$ was solved for locally compact X by Gruenhage and Ma [17].

A subset A of a topological space X is *relatively pseudocompact* if $f(A)$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$ for all $f \in C(X)$. For a metric space (X, d) and a bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on X with a closed base $\mathscr{S}$, Holá [19] showed that if $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a Baire space, then every relatively pseudocompact subset of X is in $\mathscr{B}$. Consequently, if $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is Baire, $\mathscr{T}_k \subseteq \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$. A classical result in [24] states that for a first countable paracompact space X, $C_k(X)$ is Baire if and only if X is locally compact. Next, we provides two examples to show that this result fails for functions spaces defined in terms of bornologies.

Example 5.1. *There exist a locally compact metric space (X, d) and a bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on (X, d) with a closed base such that $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is not a Baire space.* Let $X = \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}(0,1) \times \{\alpha\}$, where $(0,1)$ is the open unit interval. For each $n \geq 1$, let $D_n \subseteq X$ be the subset defined by

$$D_n = \left\{ \frac{m}{2^n} : 0 < m < 2^n \right\} \times \omega_1.$$

Define a metric d on X such that for any $(x, \alpha), (y, \beta) \in X$,

$$d((x,\alpha),(y,\beta)) = \begin{cases} |x-y|, & \text{if } \alpha - \beta; \\ 2, & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, (X, d) is a locally compact metric space. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the bornology on X generated by $\mathscr{K}(X) \cup \{D_n : n \geq 1\}$.

Claim. *The space $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$ is not Baire.* We shall construct a winning strategy σ for player β in the Choquet game played in $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that players β and α will choose basic open sets of the form $[A; \varepsilon](f)$, where $A \subseteq X$ is the union of a compact set and some D_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\sigma(\emptyset) = U_1 = [B_1; 1](g_1)$ with $B_1 \supseteq D_1$. At the n-th round with $n \geq 1$, β will have played $U_i = [B_i; \delta_i](g_i)$ and α will have played $V_i = [A_i; \varepsilon_i](f_i)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $V_i \supseteq U_{i+1} \supseteq V_{i+1}$ for each $1 \leq i < n$. Choose $k_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_n \setminus D_{k_{n+1}-1}$ is contained in a compact set. Let I_{n+1} be a finite subset of ω_1 such that $A_n \setminus ((0,1) \times I_{n+1})$ is a subset of $D_{k_{n+1}-1}$. Let $C_{n+1} = \left(D_{k_{n+1}} \setminus D_{k_{n+1}-1}\right) \times (\omega_1 \setminus I_{n+1})$. Then C_{n+1} and A_n are disjoint nonempty closed subsets of X, thus we can find a function $g_{n+1} \in C(X)$ such that $g_{n+1} \restriction_{A_n} = f_n \restriction_{A_n}$ and $g_{n+1}(C_{n+1}) = \{n\}$. Let $\delta_{n+1} < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_n$, and define

$$\sigma(V_1, ..., V_n) = U_{n+1} = [A_n \cup D_{k_{n+1}}; \delta_{n+1}](g_{n+1})$$

Then $U_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$.

Let $\langle V_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ be any σ-sequence of player α's moves, where $V_n = [A_n; \varepsilon_n](f_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mu < \omega_1$ be such that $(0,1) \times \{\mu\}$ does not intersect any of the compact sets that appear in A_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists a continuous function $g \in \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \sigma(V_1, ..., V_n)$, then $g \restriction_{\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right] \times \{\mu\}}$ would be a continuous unbounded function on a compact set. This is a contradiction, which implies $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \sigma(U_1, ..., U_n) = \emptyset$. Hence, σ is a winning strategy for β.

Example 5.2. *There exist a locally compact metric space (X,d) and a bornology $\mathscr{B}$ on (X,d) with a closed base such that $(C(X),\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is not a Baire space.* The space (X,d) is exactly the same as the one defined in Example 5.1. But, the bornology $\mathscr{B}$ is different from the one in Example 5.1. To describe it, we need to set some notation to work with the complement of the Cantor set. The first interval is denoted by $(a_\emptyset, b_\emptyset)$ and the length of the interval is $\frac{1}{3}$. In the second stage, we have two new intervals (a_0,b_0) and (a_1,b_1), where $b_0 < a_\emptyset$ and $b_\emptyset < a_1$, each of length $\frac{1}{3^2}$. In the $n+1$ stage, there are 2^n many intervals with length $\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}$ and each $p\in\{0,1\}^n$ corresponds to an interval (a_p,b_q). For each $p\in\{0,1\}^n$, the two intervals that are closer to (a_p,b_p) in the $n+2$ stage are $(a_{p^\frown 0}, b_{p^\frown 0})$ at its left and $(a_{p^\frown 1}, b_{p^\frown 1})$ at its right. This gives 2^{n+1} many intervals (a_q,b_q) with length $\frac{1}{n+2}$ in the $n+2$ stage, where $q\in\{0,1\}^{n+1}$. For notational convenience, let $\{0,1\}^0=\{\emptyset\}$ and the length $p\in\{0,1\}^n$ is denoted by $\|p\|$. Of course, $\|\emptyset\|=0$. For each $n\geq 1$ and $p\in\bigcup_{0\leq m\leq n}\{0,1\}^m$, define

$$E_{n,p}=\left[a_p-\sum_{k\geq\|p\|}^{n}\frac{1}{3^{k+3}},\ b_p+\sum_{k\geq\|p\|}^{n}\frac{1}{3^{k+3}}\right].$$

Note that $E_{n,p}\subset E_{m,p}$ when $\|p\|\leq n<m$. Now, for each $n\geq 0$, put

$$E_n=\bigcup\{E_{n,p}: p\in\{0,1\}^m \text{ and } 0\leq m\leq n\} \quad\text{and}\quad D_n=E_n\times\omega_1.$$

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the bornology on X generated by $\mathscr{K}(X)\cup\{D_n: n\geq 0\}$.

Claim. *The space $(C(X),\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is not Baire.* We shall construct a winning strategy σ for player β in $Ch(C(X),\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that players β and α will choose basic open sets of the form $[A;\varepsilon]^s(f)$, where $A\subseteq X$ is the union of a compact set and some D_n, $n\geq 0$. Let $\sigma(\emptyset)=U_1=[B_1;1]^s(g_1)$ with $B_1\supseteq D_1$. At the n-th round with $n\geq 1$, β will have played $U_i=[B_i;\delta_i]^s(g_i)$ and α will have played $V_i=[A_i;\varepsilon_i]^s(f_i)$ with $1\leq i\leq n$ such that $V_i\supseteq U_{i+1}\supseteq V_{i+1}$ for each $1\leq i<n$. Choose $k_{n+1}\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $A_n\setminus E_{k_{n+1}-1}$ is contained in a compact set. Let I_{n+1} be a finite subset of ω_1 such that $A_n\setminus((0,1)\times I_{n+1})$ is a subset of $D_{k_{n+1}-1}$. Let

$$C_{n+1}=\left(\bigcup\left\{E_{k_{n+1},p}: p\in\{0,1\}^{k_{n+1}}\right\}\right)\times(\omega_1\setminus I_{n+1}).$$

Then C_{n+1} and A_n are disjoint nonempty closed subsets of X, thus we can find a function $g_{n+1}\in C(X)$ such that $g_{n+1}\restriction_{A_n}=f_n\restriction_{A_n}$ and $g_{n+1}(C_{n+1})=\{n\}$. Let $\delta_{n+1}<\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_n$, and define

$$\sigma(V_1,...,V_n)=U_{n+1}=[A_n\cup D_{k_{n+1}};\delta_{n+1}]^s(g_{n+1})$$

Then $U_{n+1}\subseteq V_n$.

Let $\langle V_n: n\geq 1\rangle$ be any σ-sequence of player α's moves, where $V_n=[A_n;\varepsilon_n]^s(f_n)$ for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $\mu<\omega_1$ be such that $(0,1)\times\{\mu\}$ does not intersect any of the compact sets that appear in A_n for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. If there exists a continuous function $g\in\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\sigma(V_1,...,V_n)$, then $g\restriction_{[\frac{1}{9},\frac{8}{9}]\times\{\mu\}}$ would be a continuous unbounded function on a compact set. This is a contradiction, which implies $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\sigma(U_1,...,U_n)=\emptyset$. Hence, σ is a winning strategy for β.

Let $\mathscr{B}$ be bornology on a metric space (X,d). We consider the game $\mathscr{G}^s_{\mathscr{B}}(X)$ played on (X,d) between two players β and α. Players β and α take turn to choose

elements from $\mathscr{B}$ as follows: β starts first and chooses $B_1 \in \mathscr{B}$, and α responds by choosing $A_1 \in \mathscr{B}$. At stage n ($n \geq 2$), β chooses a member $B_n \in \mathscr{B}$ which is far from A_{n-1} and α responds by choosing another member $A_n \in \mathscr{B}$. The game is played continuously in this pattern and a play $\langle (B_n, A_n) : n \geq 1 \rangle$ is produced. We say that player α *wins* the play $\langle (B_n, A_n) : n \geq 1 \rangle$ if the sequence $\langle B_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$ has an open discrete expansion in X. Otherwise, β is said to have won the play.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base. If $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ is a Baire space, β does not have a winning strategy in $\mathscr{G}^s_{\mathscr{B}}(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that σ is a strategy for β in $\mathscr{G}^s_{\mathscr{B}}(X)$. We shall show that σ is not a winning strategy for β. To achieve this, we define inductively a strategy τ for player β in the Choquet game $Ch((C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}))$ and then apply the Baireness of $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$.

First, let $f_1 = 1$ be the constant function and define $\tau(\emptyset) := \left[\sigma(\emptyset); \frac{1}{2}\right]^s (f_1)$. Suppose that $V_1 \subseteq \tau(\emptyset)$ is player α's first move in $Ch((C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}))$. Then, there exists a basic open set $U_1 = [A_1; \varepsilon_1]^s(g_1) \subseteq V_1$, where $A_1 \in \mathscr{S}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $g_1 \in C(X)$. Since $U_1 \subseteq \tau(\emptyset)$, without loss of generality, we can require $0 \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma(\emptyset) \subseteq A_1$. Furthermore, since $\sigma(A_1) \cap \overline{A_1^{\delta_1}} = \emptyset$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$, by the Tietz extension theorem, there exists a function $f_2 \in C(X)$ such that $f_2 \restriction_{A_1^{\delta_1}} = g_1 \restriction_{A_1^{\delta_1}}$ and $f_2(\sigma(A_1)) = \{2\}$. Next, we define

$$\tau(V_1) = \left[A_1 \cup \sigma(A_1); \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\right]^s (f_2).$$

Then $\tau(V_1) \subseteq U_1 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \tau(\emptyset)$.

Suppose that we have constructed τ for all finite legal moves of α with length n ($n \geq 1$) in $Ch((C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}))$. In particular, for each sequence $\langle V_1, ..., V_n \rangle$ of α's legal moves, there are finite sequences $\langle A_1, ..., A_n \rangle$ in $\mathscr{S}$, $\langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle$ and $\langle f_1, ..., f_{n+1} \rangle$ in $C(X)$, $\langle \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n \rangle$ and $\langle \delta_1, ..., \delta_n \rangle$ of positive real numbers, and $\langle U_1, ..., U_n \rangle$ in $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ such that

(1) $0 < \varepsilon_k \leq \dfrac{1}{2^k}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
(2) $\langle A_k : 1 \leq k \leq n \rangle$ is a σ-sequence with $\sigma(A_1, ..., A_{k-1}) \subseteq A_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
(3) $U_k = [A_k; \varepsilon_k]^s(g_k)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
(4) $U_k \subseteq V_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
(5) $f_{k+1} \restriction_{A_k^{\delta_k}} = g_k \restriction_{A_k^{\delta_k}}$ and $f_{k+1}(\sigma(A_1, ..., A_k)) = \{k+1\}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$;
(6) $\tau(U_1, ..., U_k) = \left[A_k \cup \sigma(A_1, ..., A_k); \dfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_k\right]^s (f_{k+1})$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Note that (3)–(6) imply that
(7) $\tau(V_1, ..., V_k) \subseteq U_k \subseteq V_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.
Now, let $\langle V_1, ..., V_n, V_{n+1} \rangle$ be a finite sequence of legal moves of α with length $n+1$ in $Ch((C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}))$. We choose a basic open set U_{n+1} in $\mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}}$ such that

$$U_{n+1} = [A_{n+1}; \varepsilon_{n+1}]^s(g_{n+1}) \subseteq V_{n+1},$$

where $A_{n+1} \in \mathscr{S}$ such that $A_n \cup \sigma(A_1, ..., A_n) \subseteq A_{n+1}$ and $0 < \varepsilon_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_n$. Since $\sigma(A_1, ..., A_{n+1}) \cap \overline{A_{n+1}^{\delta_{n+1}}} = \emptyset$ for some $\delta_{n+1} > 0$, by the Tietz extension theorem,

there exists a function $f_{n+1} \in C(X)$ such that $f_{n+1} \restriction_{A_{n+1}^{\delta_{n+1}}} = g_{n+1} \restriction_{A_{n+1}^{\delta_{n+1}}}$ and $f_{n+2}(\sigma(A_1, ..., A_{n+1})) = \{n+2\}$. Then, we define

$$\tau(V_1, ..., V_n, V_{n+1}) = \left[A_{n+1} \cup \sigma(A_1, ..., A_{n+1}); \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{n+1}\right]^s (f_{n+2}).$$

Then, by construction, we have that $\tau(V_1, ..., V_n, V_{n+1}) \subseteq U_{n+1} \subseteq V_{n+1}$. Thus, we have defined inductively the strategy τ for β in $Ch(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}^s)$.

Since $(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}^s)$ is a Baire space, by Theorem 2.1, τ is not a winning strategy for β in $Ch(C(X), \mathscr{T}_{\mathscr{B}}^s)$. Therefore, there exists an infinite sequence $\langle V_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ of α's legal moves such that

$$\bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \tau(V_1, ..., V_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $f \in \bigcap_{n\geq 1} V_n$. Furthermore, let $\langle A_n : n \geq 1\rangle$, $\langle g_n : n \geq 1\rangle$, $\langle f_n : n \geq 1\rangle$, $\langle \varepsilon_n : n \geq 1\rangle$, $\langle \delta_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ and $\langle U_n : n \geq 1\rangle$ be associated sequences such that for each $n \geq 1$, (1)–(6) are satisfied. Then (7) is also satisfied for all $k \geq 1$, which implies that $f \in U_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, for each $n \geq 1$, we have $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \frac{1}{2^n}$ for all $x \in A_{n-1} \cup \sigma(A_1, ..., A_{n-1})$. Since $f(\sigma(A_1, ..., A_{n-1})) = \{n\}$, then

$$n - \frac{1}{2^n} < f(x) < n + \frac{1}{2^n}$$

for all $x \in \sigma(A_1, ..., A_{n-1})$. It follows that $\{f(\sigma(\emptyset))\} \cup \{f(\sigma(A_1, ..., A_n)) : n \geq 1\}$ is a discrete family on $\mathbb{R}$, which has an open discrete expansion in $\mathbb{R}$. Since f is continuous, $\{\sigma(\emptyset)\} \cup \{\sigma(A_1, ..., A_n) : n \geq 1\}$ has an open discrete expansion in X. This implies that σ is not a winning strategy for β in $\mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{B}}^s(X)$. □

Given two collections $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{D}$ of nonempty subsets of a topological space X, $\mathscr{C}$ is called a *moving off collection over* $\mathscr{D}$ if, for each $D \in \mathscr{D}$, there exists $C \in \mathscr{C}$ with $C \cap D = \emptyset$. The space X is said to have the $(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{D})$*-moving off property* if any subset $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{C}$, which is a moving off collection over $\mathscr{D}$, contains an infinite subcollection which has a discrete open expansion in X.

Proposition 5.4. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X. If player β does not have a winning strategy in the $\mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{B}}^s(X)$-game, then (X, d) has the $(\bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathscr{B}^\delta, \mathscr{B})$-moving off property.*

Proof. Suppose that (X, d) does not have the $(\bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathscr{B}^\delta, \mathscr{B})$-moving off property. Then, there exists a moving off collection $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathscr{B}^\delta$ no infinite subset of which has a discrete open expansion. Now, we can construct a winning strategy σ for β in $\mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{B}}^s(X)$. Let $\sigma(\emptyset)$ be an arbitrary member of $\mathscr{B}$. Assume that we have defined σ for player α's all finite legal moves $\langle A_1, ..., A_n\rangle$ of length $n \geq 1$. We choose $B_{n+1} \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{n+1}^\delta \in \mathscr{A}$ and $B_{n+1}^\delta \cap A_n = \emptyset$, and define $\sigma(A_1, ..., A_n) = B_{n+1}$. Since $\{\sigma(A_1, ..., A_n) : n \geq 1\}$ does not have a discrete open expansion, σ is a winning strategy for β. This is a contradiction. □

By results [17], if a metric space (X, d) has the $(\mathscr{K}(X), \mathscr{K}(X))$-moving off property, then (X, d) must be locally compact, and $C_k(X)$ is a Baire space if and only if (X, d) has the $(\mathscr{K}(X), \mathscr{K}(X))$-moving off property. Note that (X, d) has the $(\mathscr{K}(X), \mathscr{K}(X))$-moving off property if and only if it has the $(\bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathscr{K}(X)^\delta, \mathscr{K}(X))$-moving off property. In the light of Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, we conclude this paper with the following open question.

Question 5.5. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\mathscr{B}$ be a bornology on X with a closed base. If (X, d) has the $(\bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathscr{B}^\delta, \mathscr{B})$-moving off property, must $(C(X), \mathscr{T}^s_{\mathscr{B}})$ be a Baire space?*

Acknowledgement

The paper was written while the first author was Visiting Professor at the Universidade de São Paulo, financially supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo under the grant number FAPESP 2012/25401-5, when he was on sabbatical leave in May 2013. He would like to express his gratitude to the Institute of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of São Paulo for the hospitality. The second author's research was supported by the grants Auxilio Regular PROC FAPESP 2012/01490-9 and Produtividade em Pesquisa CNPq 305612/2010-7.

References

[1] J. M. Aarts and D. J. Lutzer, *Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **48** (1973), 1–10.
[2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, M. M. Choban and P. S. Kenderov, *Topological games and continuity of group operations*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), 2542–2552.
[3] G. Beer, C. Costantini and S. Levi, *Bornological convergence and shields*, Mediterr. J. Math. **10** (2013), 529–560.
[4] G. Beer and S. Levi, *Strong uniform continuity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **350** (2009), 567–589.
[5] G. Beer and S. Levi, *Total boundedness and bornologies*, Topology Appl. **156** (2009), 1271–1288.
[6] G. Beer and S. Levi, *Uniform continuity, uniform convergence, and shields*, Set-Valued Anal. **18** (2010), 251–275.
[7] J. Borwein, M. Fabian, J. Vanderwerff, *Locally Lipschitz functions and bornological derivatives*, CECM Report No. 93:012.
[8] J. Cao and Z. Piotrowski, *Two variations of the Choquet games*, Kyungpook Math. J. **44** (2004), 495–504.
[9] J. Cao, D. Gauld, S. Greenwood and A. Mohamad, *Games and metrisability of manifolds*, New Zealand J. Math. **37** (2008), 1–8.
[10] J. Cao and W. B. Moors, *A survey of topological games and their applications in analysis*, RACSAM. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. **100** (2006), 39–49.
[11] A. Caserta, G. Di Maio and L. Holá, *Arzelà's theorem and strongly uniform convergence on bornologies*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **371** (2010), 384–392.
[12] A. Caserta, G. Di Maio and L. Kočinac, *Bornologies, selection principles and function spaces*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), 1847–1852.
[13] J. Chaber, M. Čoban and K. Nagami, *On monotone generalizations of Moore spaces*, Fund. Math., **84** (1974), 107–119.
[14] E. K. van Douwen, *An unBaireable stratifiable space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1977), 324–326.
[15] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
[16] G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of set-theoretic topology, edited by K. Kunen and J. Vaughan, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York (1984), pp. 423–501.
[17] G. Gruenhage and D. K. Ma, *Baireness of $C_k(X)$ for locally compact X*, Topology Appl. **80** (1997), 131–139.
[18] H. Hogbe-Nlend, *Bornologies and functional analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
[19] L. Holá, *Complete metrizability of topologies of strong uniform convergence on bornologie*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **387** (2012), 770–775.
[20] S. T. Hu, *Boundedness in a topological spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **228** (1949), 287–320.

[21] P. S. Kenderov, I. S. Kortezov and W. B. Moors, *Topological games and topological groups*, Topology Appl. **109** (2001), 157–165.
[22] M R. Krom, *Cartesian products of metric Baire spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1974), 588–594.
[23] R. A. McCoy and I. Natantu, *Completenss properties of function spaces*, Topology Appl. **22** (1986), 191–206.
[24] R. A. McCoy and I. Natantu, *Topological properties of spaces of continuous functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1315**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
[25] E. Michael, *Almost complete spaces, hypercomplete spaces and related mapping theorems*, Topology Appl. **41** (1991), 113–130.
[26] J. van Mill, ed., Eric K. van Douwen, *Collected papers*, Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994.
[27] W. B. Moors, *Any semitopological group that is homeomorphic to product of Čech-complete spaces is a topological group*, preprint.
[28] J. C. Oxtoby, *The Banach-Mazur game and Banach category theorem, Contribution to the theory of games* Vol III, Annals of Mathematics Studies **39**, Princeton University Press, 1957.
[29] J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, Fund. Math. **49** (1961), 157–166.
[30] E. G. Pytkeev, *Baire property of spaces of continuous functions*, Mat. Zametki **38** (1985), 726–740.
[31] J. Saint-Raymond, *Jeux topologiques et espaces de Namioka*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 499–504.
[32] V. V. Tkachuk, *Characterization of the Baire property in $C_p(X)$ by the properties of the space X*, Research papers in Topology–Maps and extensions of topological spaces (Ustinov), pp.21–27, 1985.

School of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Auckland University of Technology, Private Bag 92006, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
E-mail address: jiling.cao@aut.ac.nz

Departamento de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1010, Cep 05508-090, São Paulo, Brasil
E-mail address: tomita@ime.usp.br